#### BRIDGEND COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

# REPORT TO AUDIT COMMITTEE 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2011

#### REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE - PERFORMANCE

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS MADE**

#### 1. Purpose of Report.

1. To present to Members the report on the recommendations made since 1<sup>st</sup> July 2011, in accordance with the Audit Committee's Forward Work Programme

## 2. Connection to Corporate Improvement Objectives / Other Corporate Priorities.

2.1. Internal Audit's work impacts on all of the Corporate Improvement Objectives /other corporate priorities.

### 3. Background

3.1. The primary purpose of Internal Audit reporting is to communicate to management within the organisation information that provides an independent and objective opinion on the control environment and risk exposure and to prompt management to implement agreed recommendations for improvement.

## 4. Current situation / proposal

4.1. In order to assist the Audit Committee in ensuring that due consideration has been given by the Committee to all aspects of their core functions a summary of the recommendations made by Internal Audit since 1<sup>st</sup> July prioritised according to risk is detailed in table 1 below

Description	No of	No of
	Recommendations	Recommendations
	Made	Agreed
Fundamental – action imperative to ensure that the Authority is not exposed to high risks;	1	1
Significant – action necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks;	55	54
Merits Attention – action that is desirable and should result in enhanced control or better value for money (VFM);	90	90
Total	146	145

- 4.2 The recommendations made are graded according to their importance (Fundamental, Significant and Merits Attention). In addition, each recommendation will be grouped by risk. The risk categories are as follows:
  - A Accomplishment of Objectives;
  - C Compliance;
  - E Value for Money;
  - R Reliability and Integrity of Information;
  - S Safeguarding Assets;
  - X Governance.
- 4.3 Table 2 below details the number of recommendations made grouped by risk.

Description	No of Fundamental	No of Significant	No of Merits
	Recommendations	Recommendations	Attention
			Recommendations
A – Accomplishment Of Objectives	1	21	28
B – Compliance	0	20	32
E – Value for Money	0	3	8
R – Reliability and Integrity of Information	0	6	15
S – Safeguarding Assets	0	5	7
X – Governance	0	0	0
Total	1	55	90
Extracted from APACE as at 8 <sup>th</sup> December 2011			

- 5. Effect upon Policy Framework& Procedure Rules.
  - 5.1. None
- 6. Equality Impact Assessment.
  - 6.1. There are no equality issues.
- 7. Financial Implications.

### 7.1. None

### 8. Recommendation.

8.1. That Members give due consideration to the Implementation of Recommendations report to ensure that this aspect of their core functions is being adequately reported.

David Macgregor Assistant Chief Executive - Performance 9<sup>th</sup> December 2011

**Contact Officer:** Helen Smith – Chief Internal Auditor

**Telephone:** (01656) 754901

E-mail: <a href="mailto:internalaudit@bridgend.gov.uk">internalaudit@bridgend.gov.uk</a>

### **Postal Address**

Bridgend County Borough Council Internal Audit Innovation Centre Bridgend Science Park Bridgend CF31 3NA

# **Background Documents**

None